

### REMARKS

The Final Office Action dated April 9, 2010, has been received and carefully noted. The amendments made herein and the following remarks are submitted as a full and complete response thereto.

Claims 1-35, 37-56 and 58-98 were pending in this application. By this amendment, claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 81, and 95-98 are amended and claims 2-3, 10-35, 37-56, 60-80, 82-87, 89-90, and 92-94 are canceled. Accordingly, upon entry of this amendment, claims 1, 4-9, 58, 59, 81, 88, 91, and 95-98 will be pending.

Applicants thank the Examiner for determining that claims 1, 58, 59, 88 and 91 are allowed.

#### Restriction of claims 96 and 97

The Examiner has indicated that claims 96 and 97 directed to the use of the claimed compounds for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases and for the treatment of glaucoma are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 96 and 97 are amended to depend from allowed claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request rejoinder of method claims 96 and 97.

#### Claim objections

Claim 58 is objected to for allegedly failing to further limit the subject matter of independent claim 1. Specifically, the Examiner alleges that the definition of Y recited in claim 58 is identical to the definition of Y in claim 1. Applicants respectfully traverse this objection. Claim 1 recites that Y is a bivalent radical selected from the group consisting

of: a), b), and c). Claim 58 recites that Y is a). Thus, claim 58 is narrower than claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the objection to claim 58.

Claims 14, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 83, 86, 89, 90, and 92-94 are objected to because of an improper language related to multiple dependency. Applicants have canceled these claims thereby rendering the objection to these claims moot.

Claim 81 is objected to because the claim does not end with a period. Applicants have amended claim 81 to correct this error.

Claim 98 is objected to because the claim does not recite “one” before the recitation of “pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.” Applicants have amended claim 98 to obviate this objection.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of all the claim objections.

#### Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 2-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being allegedly indefinite. Applicants have canceled claims 2, 3, 10, and 11 rendering their rejection moot. Claims 4, 5, and 8 are amended to depend from allowed claim 1.

Claims 12-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being allegedly indefinite. Applicants have canceled claims 12-25 rendering the rejection of claims 12-25 moot.

Claims 26-35 and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being allegedly indefinite. Applicants have canceled claims 26-35 and 87 rendering the rejection of these claims moot.

Claims 82 and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being allegedly indefinite. Applicants have canceled claims 82 and 85 rendering the rejection moot.

Claims 37-56, 60-80, 83, 84, 86, 89, 90, 92-95 and 98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being allegedly indefinite. Claims 95 and 98 are amended to depend from allowed claim 1. Applicants have canceled claims 37-56, 60-80, 83, 84, 86, 89, 90, and 92-94 thereby rendering the rejection of these claims moot.


In view of the above amendments, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of all the 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph rejections.

### CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. If the Examiner believes that anything further is desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below to schedule a personal or telephone interview to discuss any remaining issues.

In the event this response is not timely filed, the Applicants hereby petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fee for this extension, along with any other additional fees which may be required with respect to this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 01-2300, referencing Attorney Docket No. **026220-00082**.

Respectfully submitted,



Richard J. Berman  
Registration Number 39,107

Customer Number 04372  
ARENT FOX LLP  
1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20036-5339  
Telephone: 202-857-6000  
Fax: 202-857-6395  
RJB/TPJ:knc